## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	United States of America			
	v. )	Casa Na	4.12CB2061	
JAVI	TIER PLACENSIA-ARRIAGA	Case No.	4:12CR3061	
	Defendant )			
	DETENTION ORDER PE	ENDING TR	PIAI.	
	DETERMINENCE ORDER I	AVDING TI		
	r conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reforms the defendant be detained pending trial.	rm Act, 18 U	J.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Findings			
	defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	-	•	
		fense that w	ould have been a federal offense if federal	
•	risdiction had existed - that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4)or an o	offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	th or life im	prisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of te	n years or mo	ore is prescribed in	
			*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been condescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or condescribed in 18 U.S.C.		•	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invol	ves:		
	□ a minor victim			
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive	e device or	any other dangerous weapon	
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
· /	ne offense described in finding (1) was committed wheral, state release or local offense.	nile the defen	ndant was on release pending trial for a	
□ (3) A p	period of less than five years has elapsed since the	□ date of o	conviction	
froi	om prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption			
	Alternative Findi	ings (A)		
□ (1) Th	here is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	as committe	d an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or r	nore is presc	ribed in .	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
1 1 1 / 1	ne defendant has not rebutted the presumption establise defendant's appearance and the safety of the comm	-	ng 1 that no condition will reasonably assure	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Alternative	<b>Findings</b>	<b>(B)</b>	
-------------	-----------------	------------	--

X(1)	There is a s	serious risk that the defend	dant will not appear.				
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.						
		Part II— Sta	tement of the Reasons for Detention				
I	find that the	testimony and information	n submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and				
convincin	ng evidence	☐ a preponderance of t	he evidence that				
that defer	ndant poses a	risk of flight. He is not a	US citizen. Detention hearing waived.				
		Part III–	-Directions Regarding Detention				
in a corresponding a order of U	ections facility appeal. The country of the country	y separate, to the extent p defendant must be afforde Court or on request of an a	dy of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement racticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody dareasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. Of the torney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility marshal for a court appearance.				
Date:	N	1ay 31, 2012	s/Cheryl R. Zwart				

United States Magistrate Judge